

A shortcoming of the Rayleigh distribution is that it is not bounded by an upper maximum value. Thus the maximum wave height can neither be defined nor computed in a deterministic way from this distribution. However, the representative wave heights  $H_{P\%}$  and  $H_{1/Q}$  can be computed analytically (see Equations 4.53 and 4.54) from the Rayleigh distribution (eg Massel, 1996; Goda, 2000).

$$\frac{H_{P\%}}{H_{rms}} = \sqrt{-\ln(P/100)} \quad (4.53)$$

$$\frac{H_{1/Q}}{H_{rms}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} Q \operatorname{erfc}(\sqrt{\ln Q}) + \sqrt{\ln Q}, \quad \text{with } \operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^{+\infty} \exp(-t^2) dt \quad (4.54)$$

The most important and useful results are listed in Table 4.8. An important issue is the estimation of the maximum value of the wave height for the case of sea-states of finite duration. This maximum wave height cannot be determined in a deterministic manner. One can, however, derive a probability density function for the (statistical) ratio  $H_{max}/H_s$  (eg Massel, 1996; Goda, 2000). Two important representative values, namely the mode and the mean values, can be expressed analytically (see Equations 4.55 and 4.56) and computed (see Table 4.9 for some typical results).

**Table 4.8** Characteristic wave height ratios for a sea-state with a Rayleigh distribution of wave heights

| Characteristic height $H$                                     | Wave height ratios |         |             |         |
|---|--------------------|---------|-------------|---------|
|   | $H/\sqrt{m_0}$     | $H/H_m$ | $H/H_{rms}$ | $H/H_s$ |
| Standard deviation of free surface $\sigma_\eta = \sqrt{m_0}$ | 1                  | 0.399   | 0.353       | 0.250   |
| Mean wave height $H_m$  | 2.507              | 1       | 0.886       | 0.626   |
| Root-mean-square wave height $H_{rms}$                        | 2.828              | 1.128   | 1           | 0.706   |
| Significant wave height $H_s = H_{1/3}$                       | 4.004              | 1.597   | 1.416       | 1       |
| Wave height $H_{1/10}$  | 5.090              | 2.031   | 1.800       | 1.273   |
| Wave height $H_{1/100}$                                       | 6.673              | 2.662   | 2.359       | 1.668   |
| Wave height $H_{2\%}$   | 5.594              | 2.232   | 1.978       | 1.397   |

- **Mode of the distribution**

The most probable value of the ratio  $H_{max}/H_s$  for a record consisting of  $N$  waves is given by Equation 4.55.

$$\left[ \frac{H_{max}}{H_s} \right]_{mode} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2}} \quad (4.55)$$

- **Mean value of the distribution**

The mean value of the ratio  $H_{max}/H_s$  for a record consisting of  $N$  waves (see Equation 4.56). The mean value is greater than the mode, because of the skewed shape of the distribution:

$$\left[ \frac{H_{max}}{H_s} \right]_{mean} \approx \left( \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2}} + \frac{\gamma}{2\sqrt{2\ln N}} \right) \quad (4.56)$$

where  $\gamma =$  Euler constant  $\approx 0.5772$ .